

THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES FEDERAL REGISTER OF THE UNITED STATES

VOLUME 24 1934 NUMBER 6

Washington, Friday, January 9, 1959

Title 16—COMMERCIAL PRACTICES

Chapter I—Federal Trade Commission [Docket 7077]

PART 13—DIGEST OF CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS

B & C Distributors Co. et al.

Subpart—*Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure:* § 13.1880 *Old, used, reclaimed, or reused as unused or new;* § 13.1886 *Quality, grade or type of product.*

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U. S. C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U. S. C. 45) [Cease and desist order, B & C Distributors Co. et al., Paterson, N. J., Docket 7077, November 18, 1958]

In the Matter of B & C Distributors Co., a Corporation, Revere Labs., Inc., a Corporation, Philip L. Bornstein and Celia Bornstein, Individually and as Officers of B & C Distributors Co. and Revere Labs., Inc., and Edward Chernela, Individually and as an Officer of B & C Distributors Co.

This proceeding was heard by a hearing examiner on the complaint of the Commission charging two associated distributors of radio and television tubes with selling a large number of used, pull-out, factory reject, and JAN surplus radio and television tubes, principally to jobbers, without disclosing on the tube, box, carton, invoice, or in advertising the nature of the tube.

Based on an agreement for a consent order, the hearing examiner made his initial decision and order to cease and desist which became on November 18 the decision of the Commission.

The order to cease and desist is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents B & C Distributors Co., a corporation, Revere Labs., Inc., a corporation, and their officers and Philip L. Bornstein and Celia Bornstein, individually and as officers of said corporations, and respondents' representatives, agents, and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the

offering for sale, sale, or distribution of television or radio tubes in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Selling, offering for sale, or distributing used, pull-outs, factory rejects or JAN surplus radio or television tubes without clearly disclosing on the tubes or on individual cartons in which each tube is packaged when sold this way, and in advertising, invoices and shipping memoranda that they are used, pull-outs, factory rejects, or JAN surplus tubes as the case may be;

2. Selling, offering for sale, or distributing any radio or television tube which is not new or first quality without clearly and conspicuously disclosing that fact on the tube or the individual carton in which such tube is packaged when sold this way, and in advertising, invoices and shipping memoranda.

By "Decision of the Commission", etc., report of compliance was required as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents B & C Distributors Co., a corporation, Revere Labs., Inc., a corporation, and their officers and Philip L. Bornstein and Celia Bornstein, individually and as officers of said corporations, shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist.

Issued: November 18, 1958.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT M. PARRISH,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 59-203; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:45 a. m.]

[Docket 7139]

PART 13—DIGEST OF CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS

Accurate Quilting Co., Inc., et al.

Subpart—*Misbranding or mislabeling:* § 13.1190 *Composition: Wool Products*
(Continued on next page)

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Agricultural Marketing Service | Page |
| Proposed rule making: | |
| Potatoes, Irish, grown in Red River Valley of North Dakota and Minnesota..... | 238 |
| Agriculture Department | |
| See Agricultural Marketing Service. | |
| Alien Property Office | |
| Notices: | |
| Vested property, intention to return: | |
| Administration Generale de l'Assistance Publique a Paris..... | 243 |
| Albrech, Eugenie..... | 243 |
| State of the Netherlands for benefit of: | |
| Böhm, P. C., et al..... | 243 |
| Ortje, Nathan, et al..... | 243 |
| Vicedomini, Matteo, et al.. | 243 |
| Commerce Department | |
| See Maritime Administration. | |
| Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation | |
| Notices: | |
| Insured mutual savings banks not members of Federal Reserve System; call for report of condition and annual report of income and dividends.. | 239 |
| Insured State banks not members of Federal Reserve System, except banks in District of Columbia and mutual savings banks; call for report of condition and annual report of earnings and dividends.... | 239 |
| Federal Power Commission | |
| Notices: | |
| Hearings, etc.: | |
| Arkansas Fuel Oil Corp. et al..... | 240 |
| Bentex Oil Corp..... | 240 |
| Harris, Dewey, et al..... | 241 |
| Pan American Petroleum Corp..... | 240 |
| Federal Trade Commission | |
| Rules and regulations: | |
| Cease and desist orders: | |
| Accurate Quilting Co., Inc., et al..... | 233 |
| Anderson Pharmacal Corp. et al..... | 236 |



Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Federal Register Division, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U. S. C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

CONTENTS—Continued

| | |
|--|------|
| Federal Trade Commission—Continued | Page |
| Rules and regulations—Continued | |
| Cease and desist orders—Con. | |
| B & C Distributors Co. et al. | 233 |
| Lichterman, J., Inc., and Arthur D. Lichterman | 237 |
| Mid-Tex Corp. et al. | 235 |
| Miller Bros. Co., Inc. | 235 |
| Mohr, Mitchell S., et al. | 237 |
| Neapco Products, Inc. | 236 |
| Food and Drug Administration | |
| Proposed rule making: | |
| Tolerances and exemptions from tolerances for pesticide chemicals in or on raw agricultural commodities; filing of petition for establishment of tolerances for residues of 1-naphthyl N-methylcarbamate | 238 |
| Health, Education, and Welfare Department | |
| See Food and Drug Administration. | |
| Housing and Home Finance Agency | |
| Notices: | |
| Urban Renewal Commissioner and HHFA Regional Administrators; amendment of delegation of authority with respect to certain programs | 242 |
| Interior Department | |
| See Land Management Bureau. | |

CONTENTS—Continued

| | |
|--|------|
| Justice Department | Page |
| See Alien Property Office. | |
| Land Management Bureau | |
| Notices: | |
| Colorado; proposed withdrawal and reservation of lands | 239 |
| Maritime Administration | |
| Notices: | |
| Trade Route No. 12—U. S. Atlantic/Far East; notice of modification and adoption of final conclusions and determinations regarding essentiality and U. S. flag service requirements | 239 |
| Securities and Exchange Commission | |
| Notices: | |
| Hearings, etc.: | |
| Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Co., Inc. | 242 |
| Temco Aircraft Corp. | 242 |
| Tariff Commission | |
| Notices: | |
| Hardwood plywood; investigation instituted and hearing set | 242 |
| Treasury Department | |
| Notices: | |
| Plastic badminton shuttlecocks from England; determination of no sales at less than fair value | 238 |

CODIFICATION GUIDE

A numerical list of the parts of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in this issue. Proposed rules, as opposed to final actions, are identified as such:

| | |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| Title 7 | Page |
| Chapter IX: | |
| Part 938 (proposed) | 238 |
| Title 16 | |
| Chapter I: | |
| Part 13 (8 documents) | 233, 235-237 |
| Title 21 | |
| Chapter I: | |
| Part 120 (proposed) | 238 |

Labeling Act; § 13.1212 *Formal regulatory and statutory requirements*: Wool Products Labeling Act. Subpart—*Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure*: § 13.1852 *Formal regulatory and statutory requirements*: Wool Products Labeling Act.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U. S. C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended, secs. 2-5, 44 Stat. 1128-1130; 15 U. S. C. 45, 68-68 (c)) [Cease and desist order, Accurate Quilting Company, Inc., et al., Hoboken, N. J., Docket 7139, November 7, 1958]

In the Matter of Accurate Quilting Company, Inc., a Corporation; and Joseph Teitelbaum and S. J. Tuttle, Individually and as Officers of Said Corporation

This proceeding was heard by a hearing examiner on the complaint of the Commission charging manufacturers in Hoboken, N. J., with violating the Wool Products Labeling Act by labeling inter-

lining materials which contained substantially less reprocessed or reused wool than the percentage set out, as "70 Percent Reprocessed Wool, 30 Percent Man-made Fibers", "80 Percent Reused Wool, 20 Percent Unknown Fibers", "100 Percent Reprocessed Wool", etc., and by failing to label other materials as required by the Act.

After acceptance of a consent order, the hearing examiner made his initial decision and order to cease and desist which became on November 7 the decision of the Commission.

The order to cease and desist is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents Accurate Quilting Company, Inc., a corporation, and its officers, and Joseph Teitelbaum and S. J. Tuttle, individually and as officers of said corporation, and respondents' representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the introduction or manufacture for introduction into commerce, or the offering for sale, sale, transportation or distribution in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939, of woolen battings or other wool products as such products are defined in, and subject to, said Wool Products Labeling Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

A. Misbranding such products by:

- (1) Falsely or deceptively tagging, labeling or otherwise identifying such products as to the character or amount of the constituent fibers contained therein;

- (2) Failing to securely affix to, or place on, each such product a stamp, tag or label or other means of identification showing in a clear and conspicuous manner:

- (a) The percentage of the total fiber weight of such wool product exclusive of ornamentation not exceeding five per centum of said total fiber weight of (1) wool, (2) reprocessed wool, (3) reused wool, (4) each fiber other than wool where the percentage by weight of such fiber is five per centum or more, and (5) the aggregate of all other fibers.

- (b) The maximum percentage of the total weight of such wool product of any non-fibrous loading, filling or adulterating matter, and;

- (c) The name or the registered identification number of the manufacturer of such wool product or one or more persons engaged in introducing such wool product into commerce or in the offering for sale, sale, transportation, distribution or delivery for shipment thereof in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939.

It is further ordered, That Accurate Quilting Company, Inc., a corporation, and its officers, and Joseph Teitelbaum and S. J. Tuttle, individually and as officers of said corporation, and respondents' representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the offering for sale, sale or distribution of woolen interlining materials or other products in commerce, as "com-

merce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from misrepresenting the character or amount of the constituent fibers contained in such products on invoices or shipping memoranda applicable thereto or in any other manner.

By "Decision of the Commission", etc., report of compliance was required as follows:

It is ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist.

Issued: November 7, 1958.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT M. PARRISH,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 59-204; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:45 a. m.]

[Docket 7221]

PART 13—DIGEST OF CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS

Miller Bros. Co., Inc.

Subpart—*Advertising falsely or misleadingly*: § 13.155 *Prices*: Exaggerated as regular and customary; percentage savings. Subpart—*Invoicing products falsely*: § 13.1108 *Invoicing products falsely*: Fur Products Labeling Act. Subpart—*Misbranding or mislabeling*: § 13.1212 *Formal regulatory and statutory requirements*: Fur Products Labeling Act. Subpart—*Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure*: § 13.1845 *Composition*: Fur Products Labeling Act; § 13.1852 *Formal regulatory and statutory requirements*: Fur Products Labeling Act.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U. S. C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; sec. 8, 65 Stat. 179; 15 U. S. C. 45, 69f) [Cease and desist order, Miller Bros. Co., Inc., Baltimore, Md., Docket 7221, November 5, 1958]

This proceeding was heard by a hearing examiner on the complaint of the Commission charging a furrier in Baltimore, Md., with violating the Fur Products Labeling Act by advertising which failed to disclose the names of animals producing certain furs and which made deceptive pricing and savings claims, and by failing in other respects to comply with the labeling, invoicing, and advertising requirements of the Act.

Following acceptance of a consent order, the hearing examiner made his initial decision and order to cease and desist which became on November 5 the decision of the Commission.

The order to cease and desist is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondent Miller Bros. Co., Inc., a corporation, and its officers, and respondent's representatives, agents, and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the introduction into commerce, or the sale, advertising, or

offering for sale in commerce, or the transportation or distribution in commerce, of fur products, or in connection with the sale, advertising, offering for sale, transportation or distribution of fur products which have been made in whole or in part of fur which has been shipped and received in commerce, as "commerce", "fur", and "fur product" are defined in the Fur Products Labeling Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

A. Misbranding fur products by:

1. Failing to affix labels to fur products showing:

(a) The name or names of the animal or animals producing the fur or furs contained in the fur product as set forth in the Fur Products Name Guide and as prescribed under the rules and regulations;

(b) That the fur products contain or are composed of used fur, when such is the fact;

(c) That the fur product contains or is composed of bleached, dyed, or otherwise artificially colored fur, when such is the fact;

(d) That the fur product is composed in whole or in substantial part, of paws, tails, bellies, or waste fur, when such is the fact;

(e) The name or other identification issued and registered by the Commission, of one or more persons who manufactured such fur product for introduction into commerce, introduced it into commerce, sold it in commerce, advertised or offered it for sale in commerce, or transported or distributed it in commerce;

(f) The name of the country of origin of any imported furs used in the fur product.

2. Setting forth on labels attached to fur products:

(a) Information required under section 4 (2) of the Fur Products Labeling Act and the rules and regulations thereunder mingled with non-required information.

B. Falsely or deceptively invoicing fur products by:

1. Failing to furnish invoices to purchasers of fur products showing:

(a) The name or names of the animal or animals producing the fur or furs contained in the fur product as set forth in the Fur Products Name Guide and as prescribed under the rules and regulations;

(b) That the fur product contains or is composed of used fur, when such is the fact;

(c) That the fur product contains or is composed of bleached, dyed, or otherwise artificially colored fur, when such is the fact;

(d) That the fur product is composed in whole or in substantial part of paws, tails, bellies, or waste fur, when such is the fact;

(e) The name and address of the person issuing such invoice;

(f) The name of the country of origin of any imported fur contained in the fur product.

2. Setting forth on invoices information required under section 5 (b) (1) of the Fur Products Labeling Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder in abbreviated form.

3. Failing to set forth on invoices the terms "Persian Lamb," "Broadtail Lamb," "Persian-Broadtail Lamb," when required, in the manner required under the aforesaid rules and regulations.

4. Failing to set forth on invoices, when required, the term "Broadtail-Processed Lamb" in the manner required under the aforesaid rules and regulations.

5. Failing to set forth on invoices the item number or mark assigned to fur products as required under the aforesaid rules and regulations.

6. Failing to set forth on invoices the disclosure "Second-hand," when required, in the manner required under the aforesaid rules and regulations.

C. Falsely or deceptively advertising fur products through the use of any advertisement, representation, public announcement or notice, which is intended to aid, promote, or assist, directly or indirectly, in the sale or offering for sale of fur products, and which:

(a) Fails to disclose the name or names of the animal or animals producing the fur or furs contained in the fur product, as set forth in the Fur Products Name Guide, and as prescribed under the rules and regulations.

(b) Represents directly or by implication that the regular or usual price of any fur product is any amount which is in excess of the price at which respondent has usually and customarily sold such products in the recent regular course of business.

(c) Represents through the use of percentage savings claims that the regular or usual retail prices charged by the respondent in the recent regular course of its business were reduced in direct proportion to the percentage of savings stated, when such is not the fact.

D. Setting forth pricing claims and representations in advertising without maintaining full and adequate records which disclose the facts upon which such pricing claims are based.

By "Decision of the Commission", etc., report of compliance was required as follows:

It is ordered, That the respondent herein shall within sixty (60) days after service upon it of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which it has complied with the order to cease and desist.

Issued: November 5, 1958.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT M. PARRISH,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 59-205; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:45 a. m.]

[Docket 6788]

PART 13—DIGEST OF CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS

Mid-Tex Corp. et al.

Subpart—*Advertising falsely or misleadingly*: § 13.155 *Prices*: Bait.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U. S. C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15

U. S. C. 45) [Cease and desist order, Mid-Tex Corporation (Brooklyn, N. Y.) et al., Docket 6788, October 30, 1958]

In the Matter of Mid-Tex Corporation, a Corporation, Apex Window Company, Inc., a Corporation, and Arnold Semenoff and Sidney Tobinick, Individually and as Officers of Said Corporations, Martin Austin and Jack Rachell, Individually and as Copartners Trading as Martin Window Company, Famous Window Company of Pennsylvania, a Corporation, and Harold Brown and Jesse Kessler, Individually and as Officers of Said Corporation, Famous Window Co., Inc., a Corporation, and Oscar J. Reiss and Sam Spector, Individually and as Officers of Said Corporation, Ace Window Company of Missouri, Inc., a Corporation, and Dolph Greene, Albert H. Nadler and Herbert Armstrong, Individually and as Officers of Said Corporation

This proceeding was heard by a hearing examiner on the complaint of the Commission charging several affiliated concerns with using bait advertising featuring low-priced merchandise, the true purpose of which was to obtain leads to prospective customers for higher priced products.

As to one corporation, the matter was settled by a consent order dated May 8, 1958., 23 F. R. 4379. Following hearings in due course, the hearing examiner made his initial decision, including findings and a similar order applying to the remaining respondents, which became on October 30 the decision of the Commission.

The order to cease and desist is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents Mid-Tex Corporation, a corporation; Apex Window Company, Inc., a corporation, and Arnold Semenoff and Sidney Tobinick, individually and as officers of said corporations; Martin Austin and Jack Rachell, individually and as copartners trading as Martin Window Company; Famous Window Co., Inc., a corporation, and Oscar J. Reiss and Sam Spector, individually and as officers of said corporation; Ace Window Company of Missouri, Inc., a corporation, and Albert H. Nadler, individually and as an officer of said corporation, and respondents' agents, representatives and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the offering for sale, sale or distribution of storm doors, windows, screens, or any other products in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from: Representing, directly or by implication, that such storm doors, windows, screens or other products are offered for sale, when such offer is not a bona fide offer to sell such products.

By "Decision of the Commission", etc., report of compliance was required as follows:

It is further ordered, That respondents Mid-Tex Corporation, Apex Window Company, Inc., Arnold Semenoff, Sid-

ney Tobinick, Martin Austin, Jack Rachell, Famous Window Co., Inc., Oscar J. Reiss, Sam Spector, Ace Window Company of Missouri, Inc., and Albert H. Nadler shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist.

Issued: October 30, 1958.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT M. PARRISH,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 59-206; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:46 a.m.]

[Docket 6891]

PART 13—DIGEST OF CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS

Neapco Products, Inc.

Subpart—*Discriminating in price under section 2, Clayton Act, as amended—Price discrimination under 2 (a): \$13.755 Pooling orders of chain stores and buying groups; \$13.770 Quantity rebates or discounts.*

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U. S. C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 2, 38 Stat. 730, as amended; 15 U. S. C. 13) [Cease and desist order; Neapco Products, Inc., Pottstown, Pa., Docket 6891, November 8, 1958]

This proceeding was heard by a hearing examiner on the complaint of the Commission charging a manufacturer of automotive products and supplies in Pottstown, Pa., with charging small independent wholesalers higher prices than it charged their heavier-buying independent competitors by means of its 2 percent to 10 percent rebate schedule based on total purchases, and by granting to group wholesalers rebates equal to 15 percent of net prices on aggregate purchases of the group while holding the independents to the 2 percent to 10 percent schedule.

After acceptance of a consent agreement, the hearing examiner made his initial decision and order to cease and desist which became on November 8 the decision of the Commission.

The order to cease and desist is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondent Neapco Products, Inc., a corporation, and its officers, representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in or in connection with the sale, for replacement purposes, of automotive parts and supplies in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the amended Clayton Act, do forthwith cease and desist from discriminating, directly or indirectly, in the price of such products and supplies of like grade and quality by selling to any one purchaser at net prices higher than the net prices charged to any other purchaser who, in fact, competes with the purchaser paying the higher price in the resale and distribution of respondent's products.

By "Decision of the Commission", etc., report of compliance was required as follows:

It is ordered, That the respondent herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon it of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which it has complied with the order to cease and desist.

Issued: November 7, 1958.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT M. PARRISH,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 59-207; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:46 a.m.]

[Docket 7178]

PART 13—DIGEST OF CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS

Anderson Pharmacal Corp. et al.

Subpart—*Advertising falsely or misleadingly: \$13.170 Qualities or properties of product or service; \$13.195 Safety.*

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U. S. C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U. S. C. 45) [Cease and desist order, Anderson Pharmacal Corp. et al., New York, N. Y., Docket 7178, November 14, 1958]

In the Matter of Anderson Pharmacal Corp., a Corporation, and Harry Evans and Anthony D'Angelo, Individually and as Officers of Said Corporation

This proceeding was heard by a hearing examiner on the complaint of the Commission charging distributors in New York City with representing falsely in newspaper and magazine advertising that obese persons using its "Du-Dol" drug preparation could lose weight at the rate of seven pounds a week without dieting, and that the preparation was "Guaranteed Safe, Guaranteed Harmless".

Based on an agreement for a consent order, the hearing examiner made his initial decision and order to cease and desist which became on November 14 the decision of the Commission.

The order to cease and desist is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents, Anderson Pharmacal Corp., a corporation, and its officers, and Harry Evans and Anthony D'Angelo, individually and as officers of said corporation, and respondents' representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the offering for sale, sale or distribution of the preparation designated Du-Dol, or any other preparation of substantially similar composition or possessing substantially similar properties, whether sold under the same name or any other name, do forthwith cease and desist from, directly or indirectly:

1. Disseminating or causing to be disseminated any advertisement by means of the United States mails or by any means in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commis-

sion Act, which advertisement represents, directly or indirectly:

(a) That said preparation is safe to use by all obese persons;

(b) That obese persons can lose weight by the use of said preparation without dieting, that is, while consuming the same kinds and amounts of food as they theretofore consumed;

(c) That any predetermined weight reduction can be achieved by the taking or use of said preparation for a prescribed period of time.

2. Disseminating or causing the dissemination of any advertisement by any means for the purpose of inducing or which is likely to induce, directly or indirectly, the purchase in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, of said preparation, which advertisement contains any of the representations prohibited in paragraph 1 hereof.

By "Decision of the Commission", etc., report of compliance was required as follows:

It is ordered, That the respondents herein shall within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist.

Issued: November 14, 1958.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT M. PARRISH,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 59-208; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:46 a. m.]

[Docket 7183]

PART 13—DIGEST OF CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS

J. Lichterman, Inc., and Arthur D. Lichterman

Subpart—*Advertising falsely or misleadingly*: § 13.155 *Prices*: Exaggerated as regular and customary; percentage savings. Subpart—*Invoicing products falsely*: § 13.1108 *Invoicing products falsely*: Fur Products Labeling Act. Subpart—*Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure*: § 13.1852 *Formal regulatory and statutory requirements*: Fur Products Labeling Act.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U. S. C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; sec. 8, 65 Stat. 179; 15 U. S. C. 45, 69f) [Cease and desist order, J. Lichterman, Inc., et al., Philadelphia, Pa., Docket 7183, November 14, 1958]

In the Matter of J. Lichterman, Inc., a Corporation, and Arthur D. Lichterman, Individually and as an Officer of Said Corporation

This proceeding was heard by a hearing examiner on the complaint of the Commission charging a furrier in Philadelphia, Pa., with violating the Fur Products Labeling Act by failing to invoice fur products as required, and by advertising by means of letters, tickets,

brochures, etc., which represented selling prices as reduced from so-called regular prices which were in fact fictitious, misrepresented percentage savings through the use of such claims as "Half price sale", and by failing to maintain adequate records on which such savings representations were based.

Following acceptance of an agreement containing consent order, the hearing examiner made his initial decision and order to cease and desist which became on November 14 the decision of the Commission.

The order to cease and desist is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents J. Lichterman, Inc., a corporation, and its officers, and Arthur D. Lichterman, individually and as an officer of said corporation, and respondents' representatives, agents, and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the introduction or manufacture for introduction into commerce, or the sale, advertising, offering for sale in commerce, or the transportation or distribution in commerce, of fur products, or in connection with the manufacture for sale, sale, advertising, offering for sale, transportation or distribution of fur products which have been made in whole or in part of fur which has been shipped and received in commerce as "commerce", "fur", and "fur product" are defined in the Fur Products Labeling Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

A. Falsely or deceptively invoicing fur products by:

1. Failing to furnish invoices to purchasers of fur products showing:

(a) The name or names of the animal or animals producing the fur or furs contained in the fur product, as set forth in the Fur Products Name Guide and as prescribed under the rules and regulations;

(b) That the fur product contains, or is composed of used fur, when such is the fact;

(c) That the fur product contains or is composed of bleached, dyed, or otherwise artificially colored fur, when such is the fact;

(d) That the fur product is composed in whole or in substantial part of paws, tails, bellies, or waste fur, when such is the fact;

(e) The name and address of the persons issuing such invoice;

(f) The name of the country of origin of any imported furs contained in the fur product;

B. Falsely or deceptively advertising fur products through the use of any advertisement, representation, public announcement, or notice which is intended to aid, promote, or assist, directly or indirectly, in the sale or offering for sale of fur products, and which:

1. Represents, directly or by implication, that their regular or usual price of any fur product is any amount which is in excess of the price at which the respondents have usually and customarily sold such product in the recent and regular course of their business;

2. Represents, directly or by implication, through percentage savings

claims, or otherwise, that the customary or usual retail price charged by respondents for any fur product in the recent regular course of their business is reduced in direct proportion to the amount of savings stated in the percentage savings claims, when contrary to the fact;

C. Making price claims and representations in advertisements respecting comparative prices, percentage savings claims, or claims that prices are reduced from regular or usual prices of fur products, unless there are maintained by respondents full and adequate records disclosing the facts upon which such claims or representations are based.

By "Decision of the Commission", etc., report of compliance was required as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents J. Lichterman, Inc., a corporation, and Arthur D. Lichterman, individually and as an officer of said corporation, shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist.

Issued: November 14, 1958.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT M. PARRISH,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 59-209; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:46 a. m.]

[Docket 6236]

PART 13—DIGEST OF CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS

Mitchell S. Mohr et al.

Subpart—*Acquiring confidential information unfairly*: § 13.1 *Acquiring confidential information unfairly*. Subpart—*Furnishing means and instrumentalities of misrepresentation or deception*: § 13.1055 *Furnishing means and instrumentalities of misrepresentation or deception*. Subpart—*Misrepresenting oneself and goods*—Business Status, advantages or connections: § 13.1425 *Government connection*: § 13.1450 *Individual or private business as educational, religious or research institution*: § 13.1490 *Nature, in general*. Subpart—*Using misleading name*—Vendor: § 13.2380 *Government connection*: § 13.2410 *Individual or private business being educational, religious or research institution or organization*: § 13.2425 *Nature, in general*.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U. S. C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U. S. C. 45) [Cease and desist order, Mitchell S. Mohr trading as National Research Company (Washington, D. C.) et al., Docket 6236, November 14, 1958]

In the Matter of Mitchell S. Mohr, an Individual Trading and Doing Business as National Research Company, and Sydney Floersheim, an Individual Trading and Doing Business as S. Floersheim Sales Company

On determination that its desist order of June 1, 1956, 21 F. R. 4272, requiring

cessation of misrepresentation in skip tracing questionnaires, etc., has given rise to confusion and controversy as to the compliance required, the Commission on November 14, 1958, modified its said order to require that respondent collection agencies' questionnaires, etc., "clearly reveal that the purpose for which the information is requested is that of obtaining information concerning delinquent debtors".

Said order of June 1, 1956, was modified by striking from the order paragraph numbered "1" and substituting therefor the following:

1. Using, or placing in the hands of others for use, any forms, questionnaires or other materials, printed or written, which do not clearly reveal that the purpose for which the information is requested is that of obtaining information concerning delinquent debtors.

By the Commission's "Order Reopening Proceeding and Modifying Order to Cease and Desist", compliance was required as follows:

It is further ordered that the respondents, Mitchell S. Mohr and Sidney Floersheim, shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the aforesaid order as modified hereby.

Issued: November 14, 1958.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT M. PARRISH,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 59-210; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:46 a. m.]

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 938]

IRISH POTATOES GROWN IN RED RIVER VALLEY OF NORTH DAKOTA AND MINNESOTA

Notice of Proposed Fiscal Period, Expenses and Rate of Assessment

Notice is hereby given that the Secretary of Agriculture is considering the establishment of the fiscal period, and the approval of the expenses and rate of assessment hereinafter set forth, which were recommended by the Red River Valley Potato Committee, established pursuant to Marketing Agreement No. 135 and Order No. 38 (7 CFR Part 938), regulating the handling of Irish potatoes grown in certain designated counties of North Dakota and Minnesota (the counties of Pembina, Walsh, Cavalier, Towner, Grand Forks, Nelson, Steele, Traill, Cass, Richland, and Ramsey of the State of North Dakota and Kittson, Marshall, Red Lake, Pennington, Polk,

Norman, Mahnomen, Wilken, Otter Tail, Becker and Clay of the State of Minnesota), issued under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.).

Consideration will be given to any data, views, or arguments pertaining thereto, which are filed with the Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D. C., not later than 15 days following publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The proposals are as follows:

§ 938.201 Fiscal period.

The initial fiscal period shall begin on August 3, 1958, the effective date of § 938.300, and shall end on May 31, 1959. Thereafter, each fiscal period shall begin on June 1 of each year and end May 31 of the following year, both dates inclusive.

§ 938.202 Expenses and rate of assessment.

(a) The reasonable expenses that are likely to be incurred by the Red River Valley Potato Committee, established pursuant to Marketing Agreement No. 135 and this part, to enable such committee to perform its functions pursuant to the aforesaid marketing agreement and order, during the fiscal period ending May 31, 1959, will amount to \$13,000.00.

(b) The rate of assessment to be paid by each handler pursuant to Marketing Agreement No. 135 and this part shall be \$0.00125 per 100 pounds handled by him as the first handler thereof during said fiscal period.

(c) The terms used in this section shall have the same meaning as when used in Marketing Agreement No. 135 and this part.

(Sec. 5. 49 Stat. 753, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 608c)

Dated: January 5, 1959.

[SEAL] S. R. SMITH,
Director,
Fruit and Vegetable Division.

[F. R. D. Doc. 59-214; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:47 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[21 CFR Part 120]

TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

Notice of Filing of Petition for Establishment of Tolerances for Residues of 1-Naphthyl N-Methylcarbamate

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408 (d) (1); 68 Stat. 512; 21 U. S. C. 346a (d) (1)), the following notice is issued:

A petition has been filed by Union Carbide Chemicals Company Division of

Union Carbide Corporation, 30 East Forty-second Street, New York 17, New York, proposing the establishment of tolerances of 10 parts per million for residues of 1-naphthyl N-methylcarbamate in or on the following raw agricultural commodities: Apples, beans, and peaches.

The analytical method proposed in the petition for determining residues of 1-naphthyl N-methylcarbamate consists of:

(1) Extraction of residues with methylene chloride.

(2) Purification and separation of the extract into equal portions, one of which is saponified with alcoholic potassium hydroxide.

(3) Estimation of the 1-naphthol in each portion colorimetrically using p-nitrobenzenediazonium fluoroborate as the reagent.

(4) Calculation of the 1-naphthyl N-methylcarbamate by difference between free and total 1-naphthol.

Dated: December 31, 1958.

[SEAL] ROBERT S. ROE,
Director,
Bureau of Biological
and Physical Sciences.

[F. R. Doc. 59-213; Filed Jan. 8, 1959;
8:47 a. m.]

NOTICES

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of the Secretary

[AA 643.3]

PLASTIC BADMINTON SHUTTLECOCKS FROM ENGLAND

Determination of No Sales at Less Than Fair Value

DECEMBER 31, 1958.

A complaint was received that plastic badminton shuttlecocks from England were being sold in the United States at less than fair value within the meaning of the Antidumping Act of 1921.

I hereby determine that plastic badminton shuttlecocks from England are not being, nor are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value within the meaning of section 201 (a) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U. S. C. 160 (a)).

Statement of reasons. The bulk of sales to the United States consists of a particular model shuttlecock. This model is sold at the same price in all markets of the world, including the home market in England, after taking into account a discount for quantity.

The other models are sold to the United States in minimal quantities. The price of these models is the same in all markets. It was found, however, that in a few instances, these models were sold to the United States with the quantity discount, even though the quantities purchased were small. This was brought to the attention of the manufacturer,

who has now revised his pricing so that these models will be sold in all markets at the same net price, regardless of quantity.

This determination and the statement of reasons therefore are published pursuant to section 201 (c) of the Anti-dumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U. S. C. 160 (c)).

[SEAL] A. GILMORE FLUES,
Acting Secretary of the Treasury.

[F. R. Doc. 59-226; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:49 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

COLORADO

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

DECEMBER 31, 1958.

The U. S. Forest Service of the Department of Agriculture has filed an application, Serial Colorado 024419, for withdrawal of the lands described below from location and entry under the General Mining Laws, subject to existing valid claims.

The applicant desires the land for use as recreation areas and picnic grounds.

For a period of thirty days from the date of publication of this notice, persons having cause may present their objections in writing to the undersigned official of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 339 New Custom House, P. O. Box 1018, Denver 1, Colorado.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary of the Interior on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, COLORADO

SAN ISABEL NATIONAL FOREST

BRUSH CREEK LAKES RECREATION AREA

T. 46 N., R. 11 E.,
Sec. 25, NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and
W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 26, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

CLOUD LAKES RECREATION AREA

T. 45 N., R. 12 E.,
Sec. 21, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$
SE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$
SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

SOUTH BRUSH CREEK PICNIC GROUND

T. 46 N., R. 12 E.,
Sec. 33, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 34, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$
SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

HAYDEN CREEK PICNIC GROUND

T. 47 N., R. 10 E.,
Sec. 11, $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 12, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ and S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 13, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 14, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

BALMAIN RESERVOIR PICNIC GROUND

T. 46 N., R. 12 E.,
Sec. 6, lots 6 and 7 and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

RAINBOW LAKE PICNIC GROUND

T. 46 N., R. 11 E.,
Sec. 12, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$
SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

BRUSH CREEK PICNIC GROUND

T. 46 N., R. 12 E.,
Sec. 28, S $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and N $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

SWIFT CREEK PICNIC GROUND

T. 45 N., R. 12 E.,
Sec. 14, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$
and S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

The above areas aggregate 1250.65 acres.

ANDREW J. SENTI,
Acting Lands and Minerals Officer.

[F. R. Doc. 59-202; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:45 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Maritime Administration

TRADE ROUTE NO. 12; U. S. ATLANTIC/FAR EAST

Notice of Modification and Adoption of Final Conclusions and Determinations Regarding Essentiality and United States Flag Service Requirements

Notice is hereby given that the Maritime Administrator has considered the comments and views submitted by interested persons, firms or corporations with respect to the tentative conclusions and determinations regarding the essentiality and United States flag service requirements of Trade Route No. 12 as published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of November 1, 1958 (23 F. R. 8560) and has ordered that paragraph No. 3 thereof be modified to read as follows:

3. The Mariner type ships presently operated on the route are suitable for long-range service on Trade Route No. 12. Existing C-3 type freight ships are suitable for operation pending replacement due to age by ships comparable to the Mariner type vessel with respect to speed and cargo capacity and with adequate deep tank and refrigerated capacity. C-2 and Victory type ships are considered suitable for short-term supplemental service but need to be replaced at an early date.

The Maritime Administrator has adopted as final the previously published conclusions and determinations regarding the essentiality and United States flag service requirements of Trade Route No. 12 including paragraph No. 3 as so modified.

Dated: January 6, 1959.

By order of the Maritime Administrator.

[SEAL] JAMES L. PIMPER,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 59-227; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:49 a. m.]

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

INSURED STATE BANKS NOT MEMBERS OF FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM, EXCEPT BANKS IN DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA AND MUTUAL SAVINGS BANKS

Call for Report of Condition and Annual Report of Earnings and Dividends

Each insured State bank not a member of the Federal Reserve System, except a bank in the District of Columbia and a mutual savings bank, is requested, pursuant to the provisions of section 10 (e) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, to send to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation within ten days after receipt of this notice a Report of Condition as of the close of business Wednesday, December 31, 1958, on Form 64—Call No. 50,¹ and a Report of Earnings and Dividends for the calendar year 1958, on Form 73.²

Said Report of Condition shall be prepared in accordance with, "Instructions for the Preparation of Report of Condition on Form 64," dated December 1955, and any amendments thereto. Said Report of Earnings and Dividends shall be prepared in accordance with "Instructions for the Preparation of Report of Earnings and Dividends on Form 73," dated December 1954.

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION,

[SEAL] E. F. DOWNEY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 59-220; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:48 a. m.]

INSURED MUTUAL SAVINGS BANKS NOT MEMBERS OF FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Call for Report of Condition and Annual Report of Income and Dividends

Each insured mutual savings bank not a member of the Federal Reserve System is requested, pursuant to the provisions of section 10 (e) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, to send to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation within ten days after receipt of this notice a Report of Condition as of the close of business Wednesday, December 31, 1958, on Form 64 (Savings)¹ and a Report of Income and Dividends for the calendar year 1958, on Form 73 (Savings).²

Said Report of Condition and Report of Income and Dividends shall be prepared in accordance with "Instructions for the Preparation of Report of Condition on Form 64 (Savings) and Report of Income and Dividends on Form 73

¹ Filed as part of original document.

(Savings)," dated June 1951 and any amendments thereto.

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE
CORPORATION,

[SEAL] E. F. DOWNEY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 59-221; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:48 a. m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. G-16517 etc.]

ARKANSAS FUEL OIL CORP. ET AL.

Order Denying Motions To Rescind Suspension Orders or Shorten Sus- pension Periods

JANUARY 5, 1959.

In the matters of Arkansas Fuel Oil Corporation, Docket No. G-16517;¹ Arkansas Fuel Oil Corporation (Operator), et al., Docket No. G-16653;¹ Arkansas Fuel Oil Corporation, Docket No. G-16654.¹

On December 2, 1958, Arkansas Fuel Oil Corporation (Arkansas) filed three motions requesting rescission of the Commission's action in suspending for five months the effectiveness of certain increased rates under filings hereinafter described and permission to collect such increased rates subject to refund, or, alternatively, shortening of the suspension period to one day, the increased rates then to be collected subject to refund.

The motions filed on December 2, 1958, concern the increased rates provided for in Supplement No. 6 to Arkansas' FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 49, which was suspended until April 1, 1959, by order issued October 14, 1958, in Docket No. G-16517; Supplement No. 8 to Arkansas' FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 48, and Supplement No. 8 to Arkansas' FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 50, which were suspended until April 1, 1959, by order issued October 30, 1958, in Docket No. G-16653; and Supplement No. 9 to Arkansas' FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 14, which was suspended until April 1, 1959, by order issued October 30, 1958, in Docket No. G-16654.

In support of each motion, Arkansas states that previous increased rates under the subject rate schedules are involved in proceedings consolidated for hearing in Docket No. G-8921, et al., and that in those proceedings Arkansas has presented evidence showing the need for additional revenue under its various rate schedules. Arkansas submits with its motions data as to over-all company costs substantially identical to that presented by it in the proceedings in Docket No. G-8921, et al., and alleges that such data show a deficiency in revenue for its jurisdictional gas sales, including revenue from the proposed increased rates. Accordingly, Arkansas requests rescission of the suspension orders or shortening of the suspension periods so as to avoid economic loss.

¹ This order does not provide for the consolidation for hearing or disposition of these proceedings, nor should it be so construed.

The hearings in Docket No. G-8921, et al., have been concluded but the time for filing briefs has not expired. In these circumstances, it appears that efficient administration of the Natural Gas Act requires that the initial determination of the questions presented in these proceedings be made by the presiding examiner. Absent such a determination, the increased rates have not yet been shown to be just and reasonable, the motions are premature, and the suspension periods should not be shortened, nor the suspension orders rescinded.

The Commission finds: Arkansas' motions to rescind suspension orders or shorten suspension periods with respect to the rate schedules designated above are premature, and, in consideration of the public interest and the provisions of the Natural Gas Act, said motions should be denied.

The Commission orders: Arkansas' three motions filed on December 2, 1958, to rescind suspension orders or shorten suspension periods in Docket Nos. G-16517, G-16653, and G-16654 be and they hereby are each denied.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 59-222; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:49 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-4449]

BENTEX OIL CORP.

Notice of Application and Date of Hearing

JANUARY 5, 1959.

Take notice that Bentex Oil Corporation (Applicant), an independent producer with its principal place of business in Houston, Texas, filed, on October 18, 1954, an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity, pursuant to section 7 (c) of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing the Applicant to sell natural gas as hereinafter described, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully represented in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant sells natural gas to Provident-Investment Company for resale to Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation for transportation in interstate commerce for resale from production in the Longhorn, East Longhorn, South Longhorn, Sweden, Southland and Atlee Fields, Duval County, Texas, pursuant to a basic gas sales contract dated September 1, 1949, as amended, which is on file as Bentex Oil Corporation's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 4. Service commenced prior to June 7, 1954.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7

and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on January 27, 1959, at 9:30 a. m., e. s. t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however*, That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30 (c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure: Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before January 23, 1959. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

[SEAL] JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 59-223; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:49 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-5707]

PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORP.

Notice of Application and Date of Hearing

JANUARY 5, 1959.

In the matter of Pan American Petroleum Corporation, formerly known as Stanolind Oil and Gas Company; Docket No. G-5707.

Take notice that Pan American Petroleum Corporation, formerly known as Stanolind Oil and Gas Company, (Applicant) a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Tulsa, Oklahoma, filed on November 24, 1954, an application in Docket No. G-5707 for a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to section 7 (c) of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing Applicant to sell natural gas produced by it from unspecified geological formations underlying the North Charco Field of Goliad County, Texas, to United Gas Pipe Line Company, (United) a natural gas company, for resale for ultimate public consumption, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. Notice of the said application was duly given by publication thereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER and on the 24th of January 1956 the application was, at the request of the Applicant, severed from the consolidated proceedings in which it was listed and the hearing in this matter was postponed until further notice by the Commission.

On June 7, 1957, Applicant filed an amendment to the application in Docket No. G-5707 requesting permission pursuant to section 7 (b) of the Natural Gas Act for authority to abandon the above-described service to United since the wells

involved have become depleted and have been plugged and abandoned.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on February 2, 1959, at 9:30 a. m., e. s. t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters involved and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however,* That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30 (c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, 25 D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before the 27th day of January 1959. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

[SEAL] JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 59-224; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:49 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-15405 etc.]

DEWEY HARRIS ET AL.

Notice of Applications and Date of Hearing

JANUARY 5, 1959.

In the matters of Dewey Harris et al.¹ Docket No. G-15405; Coline Oil Corporation,² Docket No. G-15462; Moore & Fox, Bickerstaff Lease,³ Docket No. G-15495; Phillips Petroleum Company,⁴ Docket No. G-15500; Renwar Oil Corporation, Operator,⁵ Docket No. G-15518; American Climax Petroleum Corporation, Operator, et al.,⁶ Docket No. G-15689; Cora L. Bramer et al. (Heirs of C. W. Bramer Estate)⁷ Docket No. G-15698; McCall Drilling Company, Inc., Docket No. G-15709; Fairman Drilling Company,⁸ Docket No. G-15799; Phillips Petroleum Company,⁴ Docket No. G-15809; Cordele Operating Company, Agent for Wheelock Oil Co., et al.,⁹ Docket No. G-15986; L. R. French, Jr., Operator,¹⁰ Docket No. G-15992; L. R. French, Jr., Operator, et al.,¹¹ Docket No. G-15993; J. H. Ferrell Bennett Lease,¹² Docket No. G-16133; Russell Williamson, Docket No. G-16135; Everson Oil & Gas

Co.,¹³ Docket No. G-16149; Horizon Oil & Gas Company,¹⁴ Docket No. G-16156.

Take notice that each of the above Applicants has filed an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity, pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing each to render service as hereinafter described, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully represented in the respective applications, which are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The respective Applicants produce and propose to sell natural gas for transportation in interstate commerce for resale as indicated below:

Docket No., Field and Location, and Purchaser

G-15405; Skin Creek District, Lewis County, W. Va.; Equitable Gas Company.

G-15462; Horizon Field, Hansford County, Tex.; Northern Natural Gas Company.

G-15495; Brohard Field, Ritchie County, W. Va.; Penova Interests.

G-15500; West Edna Field, Jackson County, Tex.; United Gas Pipe Line Company.

G-15518; Old Ingleside Field, San Patricio and Nueces Counties, Tex.; United Gas Pipe Line Company.

G-15689; West Bar-X Area, Grand County, Utah; Pacific Northwest Pipeline Corporation.

G-15698; Appalachian Field, Warren County, Pa.; Pennsylvania Gas Company.

G-15709; Freemans Creek District, Lewis County, W. Va.; Equitable Gas Company.

G-15799; Luthersburg-Deemer Field, Clearfield County, Pa.; New York State Natural Gas Corporation.

G-15809; Old Ingleside Field, San Patricio County, Tex.; United Gas Pipe Line Company.

G-15986; West Edna Field, Jackson County, Tex.; United Gas Pipe Line Company.

G-15992; Spraberry Trend Field, Reagan County, Tex.; El Paso Natural Gas Company.

G-15993; Spraberry Trend Field, Reagan County, Tex.; El Paso Natural Gas Company.

G-16133; Big Run Field, Calhoun County, W. Va.; Equitable Gas Company.

G-16135; Trace Fork Field, Magoffin County, Ky.; Kentucky West Virginia Gas Company.

G-16149; Daniels Run Field, Calhoun County, W. Va.; Godfrey L. Cabot, Inc.

G-16156; Perryton (Westwick) Field, Ochiltree County, Tex.; Northern Natural Gas Company.

These related matters should be heard on a consolidated record and disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on February 5, 1959, at 9:30 a. m., e. s. t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such applications: *Provided, however,* That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30 (c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicants to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before January 23, 1959. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

[SEAL] JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

¹ Dewey Harris et al. is a partnership consisting of Dewey Harris, B. Bott, and Madge Bott. All are signatory seller parties to the gas sales contract dated May 7, 1958.

² Coline Oil Corporation, non-operator, is filing for its 25 percent working interest in the Chunn Unit to be sold under a ratification agreement dated February 24, 1958, or a basic gas sales contract dated May 2, 1957, between Phillips Petroleum Company (Operator of subject unit), seller, and Northern, buyer. Both Coline and Northern are signatory parties to the subject ratification agreement. Phillips received authorization in Docket No. G-12690 to sell gas under the basic contract, which contract limits production to formations shallower than the Mississippi Limestone Zone.

³ Moore & Fox is a partnership consisting of C. T. Moore and K. W. Fox; both are signatory-seller parties to the gas sales contract dated July 8, 1958.

⁴ Phillips Petroleum Company, non-operator, is filing for its 50 percent working interest in certain acreage and is a signatory seller party to the gas sales contract dated June 24, 1958.

⁵ In Docket Nos. G-15518 and G-15809, Renwar Oil Corporation (Operator) and Phillips Petroleum Company (non-operator), respectively, are filing individually for authorization to sell the natural gas produced from the subject acreage, in which production each owns 50 percent working interest. Both are signatory seller parties to the same gas sales contract dated March 25, 1958.

⁶ American Climax Petroleum Corporation, Operator, is filing for itself and on behalf of the following non-operators: Seagull Oil Corporation, Irving Pollack, Trustee, and C. W. V. Oil Exploration Company. All above-named co-owners are signatory seller parties to the gas sales contract dated May 13, 1958.

⁷ Cora L. Bramer, C. W. Bramer (son), and Mrs. Sarah Anderson (daughter), heirs of the C. W. Bramer Estate, are filing jointly for their working interest in 360 acres.

⁸ Fairman Drilling Company, Applicant, is a partnership consisting of Hermes H. Fairman, Harry H. Fairman, Earl F. Fairman, Frank F. Fairman, Ernest E. Fairman, Milo M. Fairman, Roy R. Fairman, and Hubert S. Griffiths. All are signatory seller parties to the gas sales contract dated May 1, 1958.

⁹ Cordele Operating Company, Operator, is filing as agent for the following non-operating owners of an aggregate 25 percent working interest in certain leases: Wheelock Oil Company, W. L. Pickens, H. J. Porter, James W. Porter, R. W. Davis, Jr., and E. H. Dyer. All above-named non-operators are signatory seller parties to the gas sales contract dated June 24, 1958, and each has authorized Cordele to make the subject filing in its behalf. Operator has not signed sales contract as seller.

¹⁰ L. R. French, Jr., Operator, is filing for himself and on behalf of the non-operating owners of working interests as follows: L. R. French, Jr., Operator, 25 percent; Rodman Supply Company and French Tool & Supply, Inc., 37.5 percent each. Production from subject acreage is being sold pursuant to a basic gas sales contract dated May 26, 1956, between MWJ Producing Company, seller, and

El Paso, buyer. L. R. French, Jr., acquired his working interest in subject acreage by assignment from MWJ Producing Company dated August 9, 1956, which assignment limits production to depths between surface and 7,200 feet or base of the Spraberry Formation, whichever is the lesser depth.

"L. R. French, Jr., Operator, is filing for himself and on behalf of the non-operating owners of working interests as follows: L. R. French, Jr., Operator, 16.67 percent; F. M. Late, 33.33 percent; Rodman Supply Company and French Tool & Supply, Inc., 25 percent each. Production from subject acreage is being sold pursuant to a basic gas sales contract dated July 14, 1952, as amended, between Stanolind Oil & Gas Company, seller, and El Paso, buyer. By instrument of assignment dated November 10, 1954, E. G. Rodman acquired the acreage subject to above contract from Stanolind and subsequently by instruments of assignments dated February 18, 1955, and September 10, 1956, E. G. Rodman reassigned said acreage to F. M. Late and L. R. French, Jr., respectively.

"J. H. Ferrell Bennett Lease, Applicant, is a partnership represented by J. H. Ferrell, Partner, who is the only signatory seller party to the gas sales contract dated July 24, 1958.

"Everson Oil & Gas Co., Applicant, is a partnership consisting of C. D. Fowler, et al., filing through its agent, Walter L. Hoffman. Applicant is a signatory seller party to the gas sales contract dated July 3, 1958, through the signature of W. L. Hoffman who has signed the contract as agent.

"Horizon Oil & Gas Company, a partnership consisting of Curtis E. Calder, Jr., and N. Bruce Calder is filing for its 50 percent working interest in gas produced from Sawyer-George Unit to be sold pursuant to a basic gas sales contract dated September 17, 1956, as amended, between Shell Oil Company, seller, and Northern, buyer. Applicant acquired the acreage subject to above contract, through reassignment from Kenneth J. Rich by instrument dated June 1, 1958. Kenneth J. Rich had previously acquired the subject acreage from Shell by instrument of assignment dated April 2, 1958. Shell received authorization in Docket Nos. G-11242 and G-12233 to sell gas under the basic contract and amendatory agreement, respectively, which amendatory agreement includes the subject acreage.

[F. R. Doc. 59-225; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959; 8:49 a. m.]

HOUSING AND HOME FINANCE AGENCY

Office of the Administrator

URBAN RENEWAL COMMISSIONER AND HHFA REGIONAL ADMINISTRATORS

Amendment of Delegation of Authority With Respect to Slum Clearance and Urban Renewal Program, Demonstration Grant Program, and Urban Planning Grant Program

The delegation of authority with respect to the slum clearance and urban renewal program, demonstration and urban planning grant programs, effective as of December 23, 1954 (20 F. R. 428, Jan. 19, 1955), as amended (20 F. R. 4275, June 17, 1955; 21 F. R. 1468, March 7, 1956; 21 F. R. 3038, May 5, 1956; 21 F. R. 5385, July 18, 1956; 21 F. R. 5471, July 20, 1956; 22 F. R. 2887, April 24, 1957; 22 F. R. 4105, June 11, 1957; 23 F. R. 1202, Feb. 26, 1958; 23 F. R. 1611,

March 6, 1958; 23 F. R. 4820, June 28, 1958; 23 F. R. 8413, Oct. 30, 1958; 23 F. R. 9078, Nov. 21, 1958; and 23 F. R. 9399, Dec. 4, 1958), is hereby further amended in the following respects:

1. In subparagraph 1 (d) (3), by deleting the semicolon and inserting the following: "except to approve 'Certificates of Cost of Non-Cash Local Grant-in-Aid' which do not involve actions reserved under this delegation to the Administrator and/or the Commissioner;"

2. In subparagraph 5 (k), by deleting the word "and".

3. In subparagraph 5 (l), by deleting the period and inserting "; and".

4. By adding the following new subparagraph 5 (m):

(m) Approve "Certificates of Cost of Non-Cash Local Grant-in-Aid".

Effective as of the 9th day of January, 1959.

[SEAL]

ALBERT M. COLE,
Housing and Home
Finance Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 59-201; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959; 8:45 a. m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 7-1958]

TEMCO AIRCRAFT CORP.

Notice of Application for Unlisted Trading Privileges, and of Opportunity for Hearing

JANUARY 5, 1959.

In the matter of application by the Philadelphia-Baltimore Stock Exchange for Unlisted Trading Privileges in Temco Aircraft Corporation common stock; File No. 7-1958.

The above named stock exchange, pursuant to section 12 (f) (2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 12f-1 promulgated thereunder, has made application for unlisted trading privileges in the specified security, which is listed and registered on the New York Stock Exchange.

Upon receipt of a request, on or before January 21, 1959 from any interested person, the Commission will determine whether to set the matter down for hearing. Such request should state briefly the nature of the interest of the person making the request and the position he proposes to take at the hearing. In addition, any interested person may submit his views or any additional facts bearing on this application by means of a letter addressed to the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D. C. If no one requests a hearing on this matter, this application will be determined by order of the Commission on the basis of the facts stated in the application and other information contained in the official file of the Commission pertaining to the matter.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 59-211; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959; 8:47 a. m.]

[File No. 7-1959]

GREAT ATLANTIC & PACIFIC TEA CO., INC.

Notice of Application for Unlisted Trading Privileges, and of Opportunity for Hearing

JANUARY 5, 1959.

In the matter of application by the Pittsburgh Stock Exchange for unlisted trading privileges in The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc. common stock; File No. 7-1959.

The above named stock exchange, pursuant to section 12 (f) (2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 12f-1 promulgated thereunder, has made application for unlisted trading privileges in the specified security, which is listed and registered on the New York Stock Exchange.

Upon receipt of a request, on or before January 21, 1959, from any interested person, the Commission will determine whether to set the matter down for hearing. Such request should state briefly the nature of the interest of the person making the request and the position he proposes to take at the hearing. In addition, any interested person may submit his views or any additional facts bearing on this application by means of a letter addressed to the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D. C. If no one requests a hearing on this matter, this application will be determined by order of the Commission on the basis of the facts stated in the application and other information contained in the official file of the Commission pertaining to the matter.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 59-212; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959; 8:47 a. m.]

TARIFF COMMISSION

[Investigation 77]

HARDWOOD PLYWOOD

Notice of Investigation and Hearing

Investigation No. 77 under section 7, Trade Agreements Extension Act of 1951, as amended.

Investigation instituted. Upon application of the Hardwood Plywood Institute, received December 22, 1958, the United States Tariff Commission, on the 5th day of January 1959, under the authority of section 7 of the Trade Agreements Extension Act of 1951, as amended, instituted an investigation to determine whether hardwood plywood, except Spanish cedar plywood, provided for in paragraph 405 of the Tariff Act of 1930 are, as a result in whole or in part of the duty or other customs treatment reflecting concessions granted thereon under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, being imported into the United States in such increased quantities, either actual or relative, as to cause or threaten serious injury to the domes-

tic industry producing like or directly competitive products.

Public hearing ordered. A public hearing in this investigation will be held beginning at 10 a. m. e. s. t., on April 14, 1959, in the Hearing Room, Tariff Commission Building, 8th and E Streets NW., Washington, D. C. Interested parties desiring to appear and to be heard at the hearing should notify the Secretary of the Commission, in writing, at least three days in advance of the date set for the hearing.

Inspection of application. The application filed in this case (except for information submitted in confidence) is available for public inspection at the office of the Secretary, United States Tariff Commission, 8th and E Streets NW., Washington, D. C., and at the New York City office of the Tariff Commission, located in Room 437 of the Custom House, where it may be read and copied by persons interested.

Issued: January 6, 1959.

By order of the Commission.

[SEAL] DONN N. BENT,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 59-228; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:50 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Alien Property

ADMINISTRATION GENERALE DE L'ASSISTANCE PUBLIQUE A PARIS

Notice of Intention to Return Vested Property

Pursuant to section 32 (f) of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of intention to return, on or after 30 days from the date of publication hereof, the following property, subject to any increase or decrease resulting from the administration thereof prior to return, and after adequate provision for taxes and conservatory expenses:

Claimant, Claim No., Property, and Location

Administration Generale de l'Assistance Publique a Paris, Paris, France; \$14,179.32 in the Treasury of the United States.

Vesting Order No. 19159; Claim No. 63347.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on December 31, 1958.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] PAUL V. MYRON,
Deputy Director,
Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 59-215; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:47 a. m.]

MATTEO VICEDOMINI ET AL.

Notice of Intention to Return Vested Property

Pursuant to section 32 (f) of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended,

notice is hereby given of intention to return, on or after 30 days from the date of publication hereof, the following property, subject to any increase or decrease resulting from the administration thereof prior to return, and after adequate provision for taxes and conservatory expenses:

Claimant, Claim No., Property, and Location

Matteo Vicedomini, Carpino, Province of Foggia, Italy; \$126.63 in the Treasury of the United States.

Michelina Vicedomini, Carpino, Province of Foggia, Italy; \$126.63 in the Treasury of the United States.

Rocco Maccarone c/o Mrs. Irena Bernin-casa, Monterotondo, Province of Grosseto, Italy; \$63.32 in the Treasury of the United States.

Lucia Maccarone, 76 Via S. Rocco, Cerignola, Province of Foggia, Italy; \$63.32 in the Treasury of the United States.

Vesting Order No. 2615; Claim No. 64108.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on December 31, 1958.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] PAUL V. MYRON,
Deputy Director,
Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 59-216; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:47 a. m.]

EUGENIE ALBRECH

Notice of Intention To Return Vested Property

Pursuant to section 32 (f) of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of intention to return, on or after 30 days from the date of publication hereof, the following property, subject to any increase or decrease resulting from the administration thereof prior to return, and after adequate provision for taxes and conservatory expenses:

Claimant, Claim No., Property, and Location

Eugenie Albrech, nee Beck, 41 Cite Loucheur, Talange, Moselle, France; \$323.57 in the Treasury of the United States.

Vesting Order No. 12234; Claim No. 59399.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on December 31, 1958.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] PAUL V. MYRON,
Deputy Director,
Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 59-217; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:48 a. m.]

STATE OF NETHERLANDS FOR BENE- FIT OF NATHAN ORTJE ET AL.

Notice of Intention to Return Vested Property

Pursuant to section 32 (f) of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended,

notice is hereby given of intention to return, on or after 30 days from the date of publication hereof, the following property, subject to any increase or decrease resulting from the administration thereof prior to return, and after adequate provision for taxes and conservatory expenses:

Claimant, Claim No., Property, and Location

The State of the Netherlands for the benefit of: Cash in the Treasury of the United States as noted below and the securities described below, registered in the name of the Attorney General of the United States, presently in the custody of the Safekeeping Department, Federal Reserve Bank, at New York City.

Nathan Ortje, Nathan and Henriette Soester, Benjamin Wallaga, David and Levie Moscou, Esther Hakker, Ephraim Polak and Eva Salomon; L. S. Claim No. 916; \$5,881.20.

R. G. A. Hodenpijl and Anna D. van Kampen; L. S. Claim No. 1031; \$259.06. 2/25 shares, North American Trust Shares 1956 Distribution Type, Certificates Nos. BB12693/4.

Philippus and Mozes Querido, Ans Ellen Ordner, Issac van Heeks, Ella de Jong-Lek, Andre Hertzberger and H. Gaja van Dijk-Emmering; L. S. Claim No. 1032; \$1,640.00.

Jeannette Bierma, Hilda Sanson, Niel, Hans Adolf, Maria, Irene Emilia and Herman Kees Schut, Lucie van Herwijnen, Caroline Rosina Manus, Elly Cassiana, Cato Felix, Bertha Anna Bos and Henriette van Weeren Griek; L. S. Claim No. 1033; \$889.58. The Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company 4½/2010, Debenture No. 9092, in the principal amount of \$1,000.

Vesting Order No. 18521.

Netherlands Embassy, Office of the Financial Counselor, 1470 Euclid Street NW., Washington, D. C.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on December 31, 1958.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] PAUL V. MYRON,
Deputy Director,
Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 59-218; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:48 a. m.]

STATE OF NETHERLANDS FOR BENEFIT OF P. C. BÖHM ET AL.

Notice of Intention to Return Vested Property

Pursuant to section 32 (f) of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of intention to return, on or after 30 days from the date of publication hereof, the following property, subject to any increase or decrease resulting from the administration thereof prior to return, and after adequate provision for taxes and conservatory expenses:

Claimant, Claim No., Property, and Location

The State of the Netherlands for the benefit of: All right, title and interest of the Attorney General acquired pursuant to Vesting Order No. 18521 (16 F. R. 10097, Oc-

NOTICES

tober 3, 1951) in and to the following securities:

P. C. Böhm; L. S. Claim No. 932; Cities Service Company 5/58, Debenture No. 1063, in the principal amount of \$1,000.

Mr. P. Rink; L. S. Claim No. 979; The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company 4/55, Bond No. 48032 and Cities Service Company 5/58, Debenture No. 1967, all in the principal amount of \$1,000 each.

J. C., M. P. D., M. H. Th., F. D. P. G., a minor, J. G. W. H., a minor, and Th. E. Van Sytzama; L. S. Claim No. 1023; San Antonio & Aransas Pass Railway Company 4/43, Bonds Nos. 7351, 8312 and 8314, in the principal amount of \$1,000 each.

Vesting Order No. 18521.

Netherlands Embassy, Office of the Financial Counselor, 1470 Euclid Street NW., Washington, D. C.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on December 31, 1958.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL]

PAUL V. MYRON,
Deputy Director,
Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 59-219; Filed, Jan. 8, 1959;
8:48 a. m.]